

TRILLION DOLLAR DEFICITS NOT SUSTAINABLE

(Mr. NEUGEBAUER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. NEUGEBAUER. Mr. Speaker, I originally came down here to talk about the fact that when my two grandsons, Nathan and Noah, need to know whether they need their tonsils out, we're going to let the doctor make that decision, not the President of the United States or the Speaker of the House. But then the gentleman from Massachusetts got up and was quoting a comment that Chairman Bernanke made the other day about the stimulus package.

What he didn't talk about was the rest of the comment that Mr. Bernanke made when we said, The fact that we're borrowing 50 cents of every dollar that we spend, do you think that that would change your predictions down the road if we keep spending at this level?

This is to quote the Chairman: "Down the road, it might. As I talked about in my testimony, I do think it's very important that we look at a medium-term fiscal sustainability, that we have a plan for getting back to reasonably low deficits and a sustainable debt-to-GDP ratio. Otherwise, we might see interest rates rise, which would be a negative for the economy."

I said, Do you think we can keep spending and having these trillion dollar deficits and not put our country—is that sustainable? Chairman Bernanke said, "No, sir. It's not."

AMERICANS DESERVE BETTER

(Mr. TIBERI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. TIBERI. Mr. Speaker, we have before us this week, maybe, H.R. 3200, the health care reform bill. Those of us on this side of the aisle are for health care reform. We believe there are many who need insurance, many who need reduced costs for health insurance. Mr. Speaker, this isn't it. In fact, the President is fond of saying, if you have it and you like it, you can keep it. Not true.

On pages 16 and 17—and I would encourage the President to read pages 16 and 17, in fact, the entire bill—and he will see that we take a hatchet to private insurance, to employer health care, and, in fact, the Congressional Budget Office Director, a Democrat, said that the President not only doesn't bend the curve to reduce health costs, we increase it. And we create a \$200 billion deficit. Americans deserve better, Mr. Speaker. They deserve a better bill than this one.

HEALTH CARE

(Mr. HARPER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HARPER. The health care reform proposal expected to come before the House contains provisions that include a tax increase of more than \$500 billion on American small businesses and working families, as well as a tax on jobs of up to 8 percent of employer's payrolls. Additionally, individuals would be required to buy coverage or pay a 2.5 percent fine on their income.

This government-run plan proposed by the Democrats will force more than 100 million individuals to lose their current insurance. Knocking this many Americans off their current coverage is a clear violation of the President's pledge to allow individuals to keep their current health plan if they like it. We need preventive medicine, not defensive medicine. I want health care decisions to be between you and your doctor, not some Washington government bureaucrat.

If the President and the Democrats are serious about health care reform, then they will work with the Republicans toward a bipartisan plan. The American people do not need health care reform legislation that can only get 218 votes in the House. Let's come up with a plan that will get 435 votes.

CHANGE WE CAN USE

(Mr. KINGSTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I just got this e-mail from JoAnne Lewis in Coffee County, Georgia. She's with the Economic Development Authority. She says that Wayne Farms is now closing down, another 165 jobs lost. This brings Coffee County, Georgia's, total job loss to 2,979, or an unemployment rate of 16½ percent. Mr. President, where's the stimulus package? Where are the jobs?

Now, on top of this comes Speaker PELOSI. She's planning to ram through a \$1.2 trillion government takeover of the health care system. This will cause a \$534 billion tax increase and a \$208 billion tax increase on small business and farmers. Therefore, more layoffs, and more unemployed. Mr. Speaker, this is not the change the folks in Coffee County, Georgia, can use. They need jobs.

TRUE BIPARTISANSHIP

(Mr. COLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, since January, we've had a lot of talk about bipartisanship, and we've even had some of it. We had a partisan Democratic stimulus bill that created bipartisan debt and unemployment, but no bipartisan jobs. We had a partisan Democratic cap-and-trade bill that will create bipartisan higher energy prices, but no more bipartisan energy. And now we've got a partisan Democratic health care bill that will cost Democrats, Republicans and Independents alike their

jobs and quality health care. Hopefully, Mr. Speaker, the Democratic majority will eventually create a bipartisan opposition that will stop their job-killing health care bill in its tracks.

□ 1530

THE DEMOCRAT PLAN DOESN'T REFORM HEALTH CARE

(Mr. DAVIS of Kentucky asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DAVIS of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, we need to reform health care in this country, but the Democratic plan doesn't do that. The Democratic health bill doesn't reduce costs or inefficiency. In fact, it increases taxes by over \$1 trillion, and it cuts provider payments substantially. Indeed, it forces tens of millions of people off of the private plans that they're satisfied with into a government-run plan. In fact, it creates 53 new Federal agencies or boards, tripling the size of the current government health care system. That is not a move in the right direction.

Is this plan good enough for Democratic leadership? Apparently not.

In the Ways and Means Committee, we offered amendments to mandate that all Members of Congress would have to be under the government-run plan. The response from Democratic leadership was that that wouldn't be fair to the families of Congressmen. Well, I've got something to say to this, Mr. Speaker. If it's not fair to the families of Congressmen, it's not fair to Americans who work hard and who actually pay their taxes to be forced into something like this.

What we need is a real plan with real reforms that the American people will accept and that will address their needs.

MOST SMALL BUSINESSES SUBJECT TO DEMOCRATS' 8 PERCENT PAYROLL TAX

(Mr. CAMP asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CAMP. Mr. Speaker, despite unemployment fast approaching 10 percent—over 15 percent in my home State of Michigan—a new analysis shows the Democrats' health care plan could force as many as 61 percent of small businesses which already provide health insurance to pay a new 8 percent payroll tax. The House Democrats' bill mandates employers must pay a minimum of 72.5 percent of the health insurance premiums for individuals and 65 percent for families. If an employer fails to do so, then it will be subject to a job- and wage-crushing 8 percent payroll tax.

According to the Kaiser Family Foundation, the new mandate will hit small firms and their employees especially hard. The majority of those

small firms that don't meet the Democrats' standards, up to 61 percent of small businesses, will pay that tax.

The bottom line: more taxes and more costs that will hurt the very workers they are supposed to help.

MENTAL HEALTH PARITY IN HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Mr. KENNEDY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Speaker, the health care bill that we are now considering contains a very important item, and that is mental health parity, requiring all health insurance plans to treat mental illness and addiction on the same grounds as other physical illnesses. If we are to do this and also to include prevention, it's important that we have the appropriate education and medical education for all doctors so that they may be able to properly screen and treat all patients. Irrespective of their specialties in some other areas, doctors ought to be able to identify and to treat, at least in the primary care setting, mental health challenges before referring them to specialists. This has been an issue within the Institute of Medicine report, and I encourage my colleagues to support this in a section for medical education and training within the base of the bill.

GOVERNMENT HEALTH CARE AND PERSONAL FREEDOM

(Mr. STEARNS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, the government-run health care plan, or the Waxman bill, is being forced on Americans without a full debate. In fact, during the markup in Energy and Commerce, where I serve, we got the replacement bill, about 1,000 pages, just about an hour before the markup. The markup was discontinued after 1 day. Who knows what is in the bill today? But there are some basic principles that all Americans recognize.

As Thomas Jefferson has said with regard to the government and its insidious encroachment on everyday freedoms; If we can prevent the government from wasting the labors of the people under the pretense of taking care of them, we will be wise. This is the pretense that Democrats are using to push their healthcare bill.

Mr. Jefferson also said, Great innovation should not be forced on slender majorities.

It is clear, Mr. Speaker, that a lot is at stake here, not the least of which is our personal freedom.

AMERICA IS FAST BECOMING A EUROPEAN SOCIAL DEMOCRACY

(Mr. BUYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BUYER. Mr. Speaker, the President and the Democratic leadership here in the House and in the Senate are on the fast track to transitioning our country's Republic into a European social democracy. There were many reasons that the ancestors of the people of America fled from where they were to come to America. It was because of the oppressions of the freedoms by the governments under which they had resided.

We have made our share of mistakes and have had successes throughout history, but when America embraces freedom and liberty, we have the ability to inspire and to lead the world through many industries, and we have done so. In health care, we attract the greatest minds of the world to come to America's marketplace. We attract at-risk capital to press the bounds of science that improve the quality of life of our people and of millions around the world.

So, when we talk about health care reform, we want to preserve that which is right, and we want to work on that which is wrong. When my Democrat colleagues of the leadership talk about health care reform, it's about a government-run, socialized health system. Let's reject that and let's work together.

THE IMPORTANCE OF PASSING COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Mr. FATTAH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, there were those who doubted that we would pass children's health care or the budget or the stimulus. Well, I rise today to say that we are going to pass comprehensive health insurance reform because it's so vitally important for our Nation's economy.

As we see the stock market rising and as we see home sales regaining, we see the Richmond Fed report of a very positive manufacturing uptick in the economy, we know with certainty that health care is important. Not only do we need to have a robust private-sector health insurance option for the public, but we need to have an option for patients if they're turned away, so we need a public option.

The previous majority had 8 years to do something on health care and did nothing. We see a lot of energy today in their speeches, but when they were in charge and when they had the White House, they had no concern for the tens of millions of uninsured Americans and for those kicked off of private health insurance because of preexisting conditions.

We're not going to talk about it. We're going to vote about it really soon on this House floor.

HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Mr. LUETKEMEYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LUETKEMEYER. Mr. Speaker, as the American people struggle to make ends meet, too many also live with the challenge of affording basic health care for themselves and for their families.

When I was home this past weekend, I heard from my constituents on a number of issues, but health care was prime on their minds. They were concerned about the plan on the table. They have great concern with regard to the provisions in there and with regard to the rationing of care. They're very concerned about the possible loss of the doctor-patient relationship. Small businesses are concerned about the tax provisions in the bill that may cost them not only the employees they have but their businesses on the whole.

At a time when we need to be helping small business, we're adding another burden onto them. Our side, that of the Republicans, has a plan to address each of these concerns in a way that solves problems rather than creates a lot of government bureaucracy, which actually takes over 18 percent of our economy. My constituents believe that the administration's plan on the table is the wrong plan at the wrong time and that it will have the wrong outcome.

THE HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATOR

(Mr. SOUDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SOUDER. Mr. Speaker, this 1,017-page bill passed in Labor. It passed in Ways and Means. It's only waiting on one committee at this point. What is clear is that it uses our tax money to kill innocent, little babies through abortion. It's clear that it uses our tax money to allow people to kill themselves. What's unclear is anything in between.

Part of the reason this chart is being censored, I've concluded, is that it actually oversimplifies this bill. As for this position here, that of the health administrator, I asked in our markup, What defines a full-time employee? Is it 40 hours, 35 or 30 hours? Well, that will be up to the health care administrator. What about seasonal employees? Are they counted? Well, that will be up to the health care administrator. What about if you're above the small business amount and then you drop below it because you've laid off people? Well, that will be up to the health care administrator.

This was all night long. We were in session all night long, marking up this bill. The committee kept saying, Well, we don't want the businesses to game this bill, so we're not going to put it in the bill that defines "full time." They